

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/645,725	MAY, EWALD	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas E. Lazo	3745	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO)

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Harold H. Fullmer (42,560)

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 23 September 2004
**Time:** 1400
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:


1,3,4,5,6,7

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*An agreement was reached to remove claim references in the specification, correct claim format, and more clearly claim the invention.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)